

MOUND CITY COUNCIL MINUTES
November 14, 2017

The City Council of the City of Mound, Hennepin County, Minnesota, met in regular session on Tuesday, November 14, 2017, at 7:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers of the Centennial Building.

Members present: Mayor Mark Wegscheid, Council Members Kelli Gillispie, Jeff Bergquist, Ray Salazar, and Jennifer Peterson.

Members absent: none

Others present: City Manager and Director of Public Works Eric Hoversten, Administrative Assistant Mary Mackres, Director of Community Development Sarah Smith, Field Officer Stewart Simon, Director of Finance and Administrative Services Catherine Pausche, City Attorney Troy Gilchrist, Fritz Gullickson, Louis Olson, Sue Henning, Roxy Pletsch, Linda Cordie, Joseph Ostlund, Ben Wilson, Art & Patty Anthony, Joleen Stadskev, Crystal Johnson, Nancy Paulson, Tom Pfeiffer, Dan O'Connell, Merlin Woytcke, Val Kelsey, Molly Schoephoerster, Marleen Graham, Joe Bruns, Melody Olsen, Kathy Rice, Aaron Gross, Audrey Woytcke, Jackson Leibfelder, Joe Johnson, Tami Pehrson, Kevin Nelson, Pat Hennessy, John & Cindy Mundt, and Kelsey Krantz

Consent agenda: All items listed under the Consent Agenda are considered to be routine in nature by the Council. There will be no separate discussion on these items unless a Council Member or citizen so requests, in which event it will be removed from the Consent Agenda and considered in normal sequence.

1. Open meeting

Mayor Wegscheid called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

2. Pledge of Allegiance

3. Approve agenda

MOTION by Salazar, seconded by Bergquist, to approve the agenda. All voted in favor. Motion carried.

4. Consent agenda

MOTION by Salazar, seconded by Gillispie, to approve the consent agenda. Upon roll call vote, all voted in favor. Motion carried.

A. Approve payment of claims in the amount of \$849,812.66

B. Approve Re-appointments to Commissions (note application/interviews for new commissioners will be processed in January):

1. Planning Commission

a) Phil Velsor (Reappt) 3-year term through 2020

- b) Jason Baker (Reappt) 3-year term through 2020
- c) Cindy Penner (Reappt) 3-year term through 2020

2. Docks & Commons Commission

- a) Jim Funk, Non-Abutter (Reappt) 3-year term through 2020

3. Parks & Open Space Commission

- a) Travis Mills (Reappt) 3-year term through 2020
- b) Jody Smith (Reappt) 3-year term through 2020

C. Approve Jay Green as City of Mound representative to the Lake Minnetonka Conservation District: 1-year term through 2018

D. RESOLUTION NO. 17-157: RESOLUTION APPROVING THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT TO REGUIDE PROPERTY AT 4873 SHORELINE DRIVE FROM MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL TO LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL AND PUTTING THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT INTO EFFECT, PLANNING CASE NO. 17-20

E. Approve Pay Request No. 7 from Park Construction in the amount of \$379,608.08 for the 2017 Street, Utility and Retaining Wall Improvement Project; City Project Nos. PW-17-01, PW-17-02, PW-17-03, PW-17-04

F. Approve Project-related Payment, 2016 Street, Utility, and Retaining Wall Improvement Project - Tuxedo Blvd PII to Assured Asphalt in the amount of \$2,235.00; City Project No. PW-16-02

G. Approve Pay Request No. 4 & Final from Pember Companies Inc. in the amount of \$25,051.33 for the 2016 Lift Station Improvement Project, City Project No. PW-16-05

H. Approve Pay Request No. 2 from Barber Construction Inc. in the amount of \$138,744.27 for the 2017 Retaining Wall Improvement Project, City Project No. PW-17-04

I. Approve Change Order No. 3 for the 2017 Street, Utility and retaining Wall Improvement Project in the amount of \$38,311.54, City Project No. PW-17-01

Mayor Wegscheid acknowledged the commissioners being reappointed and commended them for their service.

5. Comments and suggestions from citizens present on any item not on the agenda.

Joseph Ostlund, 3121 Drury Lane, stated that he wanted to speak about the utility bills from his point of view. Ostlund says he is a student at Bethel Seminary and studies the origin of words and he said that two words in particular fit well with the concerns of the residents about the utility bills. Ostlund said the first word is

obedience, which is to act from listening and public service people should act relatively and ethically to what they hear. Ostlund said the second word is absurdity which means to act from deafness which Ostlund claims the Council and Staff have responded absurdly as if they are deaf. Ostlund says the residents' pain is real and the Council has not addressed that pain or need. Ostlund thanked the Council for explaining the infrastructure needs of the City and believes that the Council are well-meaning people and if the Council is concerned with the residents' well being, they will stop acting absurdly.

Dan O'Connell, 2101 Belmont, says he has friends who are under duress because of their water bills. O'Connell has looked on the internet and has seen financially strapped cities around the country selling the idea that water is a utility and not a water bill. O'Connell thinks that by using this ideology, it allows cities to fund other accounts like the General Fund, which would indirectly be funding the City employees' pensions. O'Connell said this type of progressive financing has unintended consequences as it targets low income residents unfairly thus creating problems for lower income individuals. O'Connell stated that other cities have ended up in court because of this disparity. O'Connell suggests rebates be offered to people who are affected unfairly.

Jolene Stadskev, 4731 Manchester, asked if the Council is making any changes to water bills to make them a little more equitable.

Mayor Wegscheid said that this is not a snap-your-finger fix which the Council has been working on and there are plans in place. Wegscheid added these plans will be presented at the Nov. 28 Council meeting as a potential fix.

Melody Olsen, 4873 Cumberland, has looked at different cities' water rates and says that Mound's base rate is extremely high. Olsen asked what the base rate is used for.

Eric Hoversten, City Manager, said all revenues generated from utility services billing are used to pay for the cost of operating those utilities. Hoversten said billing has a base rate component and a variable component that is adjusted based on usage and the two rates work together to create the revenue necessary to cover both the City's current operating costs and the costs for the debt service that pays back the bonding that the City took to do the substantial infrastructure improvements which have taken place. Hoversten added that the dollars are distributed to a water fund, a sewer fund (where there is only a base rate), a storm water fund (base rate only), a street light fund (base rate only), a recycling fund (base rate only) in order to operate those unique programs for the City which includes cost for the infrastructure to deliver those services.

Olsen thinks the base rate is way out of control and says that there are several current Council members who have been on the Council for the past 10 years and therefore, can't put all the blame on the previous Council. Olsen questioned the

upcoming presentation on the long-term plans for reserving funds and wondered if the City would be double charging residents for utilities.

Hoversten said that in addition to the utility funds, the City has the general obligation fund which pays for streets, staff, etc. Hoversten said the City is looking at the long-term cost of ownership of all of the City's assets and to better predict what the financial needs are in the future. He added the City has just completed restoration of most roads and utility infrastructure under those roads in town and utility infrastructure has a 50-75 year life with streets having a life of about 30 years. Hoversten stated the City started street reconstruction about 15 years ago and the street surface has a normal expected life of about 30 years which Hoversten notes the first street that the City fixed 15 years ago will now need repair in 15 years. Hoversten says that the City believes it is a better choice for the community to plan for 15 years from now when the next street comes due for replacement and use those monies for the future. Hoversten said assessments and bonding are part of pain today as the City had no money to pay for the street improvements which needed to be done. Hoversten added that in the short term the City can use those funds to internally finance some utility activities to create immediate relief for residents.

Olsen says she can't afford any extra money right now and fears this new fund will cost her money. Olsen says the City needs to make sure the seniors and the disabled are taken care of.

Mayor Wegscheid says that by putting this reserve fund in effect, it would eliminate more assessments.

Pat Swensen, 3016 Highview Lane. Swensen asks when these reserve funds would take effect so that people wouldn't have to pay assessments.

Hoversten says the target for reserve funds for future repairs would be the next generation for substantial repairs on roads, which is 30 years from when the first streets were done.

Swensen asked the Council if they will raise taxes for these funds. Mayor Wegscheid said the next agenda item tonight will be the public hearing which covers the reserve funds. Swensen says that after the last Council meeting, she received a flyer on how to save water and she was insulted by that.

Kevin Nelson, 1716 Bluebird, moved properties within Mound. Paying same water bill now as he did previously at a smaller house. Nelson feels that water rates are going up like crazy.

Kelsy Grants, 3331 Tuxedo, agrees the water bill is outrageous compared to other places and asked if there is a reason base fees were put on water bill in first place and is there a logical reason why the base fee was put on water bill and not on taxes?

Mayor Wegscheid stated that when the street projects first started, there were no funds to do this with fees so costs were added to property taxes. Wegscheid added just as everyone tonight is complaining about high water bills, previously, everyone complained about property taxes. The Mayor stated the City looked at another way of paying for these improvements and there was a base fee put on the utility bills. Wegscheid said that there will be more information at the meeting on Nov. 28 regarding what kind of relief the Council is looking at for the water bills.

6. Public Hearing

Consideration of establishing an infrastructure replacement reserve fund authorized under Minn. Stat. §471.572

Requested Action:

Approve resolution establishing infrastructure replacement reserve fund pursuant to Minnesota Statutes, Section 471.572

Catherine Pausche, said that at the Oct. 17 workshop, the reserve fund concept was discussed. The presentation is still available on the City of Mound website for viewing. With the 2018 Westedge project, the City completes 15 years of the street reconstruction program. City has been working on developing a more long-term comprehensive financial plan. Even though the details are still coming together and this is a big undertaking, the City wants to avoid having to assess the next generation of projects. Pausche says the City doesn't want to be short-sighted about taxes. City attorney Troy Gilchrist made sure that the City was compliant with state statute when putting together this plan.

Reasoning behind this plan is to create a mechanism to finance these next generation of streets. City has taken out bonds for projects and as they begin to mature, the City has the option to put some of the money aside to pay for the next generation of projects. Pausche said she wanted to respond to the statement from some residents that there is no double dipping, no double counting - every dollar gets counted once. The only thing utility funds pay for are the utility improvements. Governmental funds pay for City services and the streets. Pausche says that the City can put things into this reserve fund when needed but it has restricted uses, specifically for streets, curbs, storm sewer, etc.

Will recommend to supplement to create other reserve funds. Don't want to go up and down with the levy. This Council asked for measured and smoother rate increases which is the responsible thing to do. From a tax base, recommend a 2% increase to the total levy.

Staff proposes to get this fund started and delay the two levies that the City is currently doing for the two TIF districts. With the recession, the TIF did not materialize so City is levying tax base to help support those bonds. Tax base is very strong and Pausche feels the City can take a year off. Can pause and get together rest of 10 year plan.

Pausche says that at the Oct workshop, a discussion was had about where the money for this fund would come from, Pausche presented revenue and special levies. Some debt will fall off in 5 years. Reserve fund will give the City the opportunity to do internal loans.

Pausche says that tonight, there is a public hearing to establish infrastructure replacement reserve fund. Per state statute, a public notice of the hearing was posted. Included in the Council packet is a resolution to establish the fund. The second resolution is to establish the initial levy. Once the resolution is passed, it will be published in the Laker.

Use of these funds are only for streets, bridges, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, trees, and storm sewers.

Mayor Wegscheid opens the public hearing at 7:39 p.m.

Joseph Ostlund, 3121 Drury, asked where the money for the levy is coming from. Mayor Wegscheid stated the money will come from property taxes.

Melody Olsen, 4873 Cumberland, thinks that the City should hold off for a year or two. Olsen says people are stressed out and she doesn't see any reason that residents have to keep paying. Olsen would rather see some better planning for what people can afford. Olsen says the Council needs to take care of our seniors. Some can't recover from financial hardship.

Jolene Stadskev, 4731 Manchester, asked if this levy will be over and above what residents are paying now or if it's a continuation of something else. Mayor Wegscheid said that the levy that is currently in place right now will drop off. The Council and Staff are talking about maintaining the same levy in order to have excess so the City will have money to pay what comes next and avoid being where the City is now, having to charge assessments.

Stadskev says she is not sure of the process for this levy. Hoversten says that the Council can tonight approve this levy through a resolution establishing this reserve fund. The resolution approves the first investment into that fund. Restructure how the City pays back bonds that were set aside for downtown redevelopment. Each year the City and Council will have the opportunity to evaluate what is the right choice for the use of funds for the next year. Hoversten says the City would like to use the "dividend" to begin funding for the infrastructure needs in the next 15 years.

Sue Henning, 5952 Sunset, talks about downtown redevelopment and asks what the Council is doing to bring in businesses to help offset residents' water bills.

Mayor Wegscheid said that the Council and Staff have been spending time talking to developers, trying to find a model that will fit the area.

Henning is very upset that a lot of downtown Mound businesses have left and wants to know why. Need to bring businesses in to offset costs. Henning feels that nothing in town is promoted, like the Farmers Market. Henning says that Tonka Toys covered a lot of the cost of residents' water bills. What is Council doing to make it better.

Gillispie says that there is a misconception of how involved cities are with small businesses. Some businesses choose to retire or move on. In those cases, there is nothing the City can do. This Council has done a ton behind the scenes in trying to find something that is beneficial to the community. How do we get businesses in here that are sustainable.

Henning says she is talking about the storefronts across from Wells Fargo - why did they all leave and why isn't the Council doing anything to bring in businesses.

Gillispie says the Council can't dictate what corporate offices do. Henning says that the Council is not bringing in businesses. Mayor Wegscheid reminds Henning that the Council members are members of the community as well and pay the same water bills. Mayor Wegscheid says that he will reach out to Henning via a phone call to further discuss the redevelopment issue.

Tami Pehrson, 1624 Finch, has lived in Mound since 1967. Pehrson says the Council needs to bring businesses back. Pehrson mentioned that Mound used to have a youth center and now there is nothing here to bring the community together as a family.

Mayor Wegscheid closes the public hearing at 7:55 p.m.

MOTION by Salazar, seconded by Peterson, to approve resolution. All voted in favor. Motion carried.

RESOLUTION NO. 17-158: RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING INFRASTRUCTURE REPLACEMENT RESERVE FUND PURSUANT TO MINNESOTA STATUTES, SECTION 471.572

MOTION by Salazar, seconded by Gillispie, to approve resolution. All voted in favor. Motion carried.

RESOLUTION NO. 17-159: RESOLUTION SETTING A TAX LEVY FOR THE INFRASTRUCTURE REPLACEMENT RESERVE FUND

7. Field Officer Stewart Simon requesting action on an Ordinance amending Chapter 14 of the Mound City Code as it relates to Animals

Stewart Simon presented ordinance changes to the code as it relates to animals. Chapter 14 is the animal code which deals with domesticated animals.

Simon says that when looking at this ordinance, it is confusing. There is not a good flow in how it is written and there is a lot of bouncing around.

Simon recommends to repeal and replace the ordinance because:

- Majority of the existing Code dates back to 1987
- Last revised in 2015 & 2016
- Existing Code reflects former practices and procedures and is not fully compatible with applicable State Statutes
- Structure of contents within Chapter is confusing and redundant

Simon mentions that the processes for dealing with dangerous dogs in the City code are unenforceable and have not kept up with state statute.

Simon says there are now seven articles in the proposed revised Chapter 14 and reviewed those. Biggest change is Article V which relates to potentially dangerous and dangerous dogs. Vast majority of the other Articles are unchanged.

Simon reviewed difference between potentially dangerous and dangerous dog definitions. Salazar asked about provoked or unprovoked attacks by dogs and said that people invest money in guard dogs. If someone is trespassing on the property, does the owner have the right to send the dog after the trespasser without recourse. Simon says there are exemptions to the dangerous dog article including willful trespass, etc.

Gillispie asked if this ordinance is drafted verbatim from state statute. Simon says the majority of code regarding dangerous dogs comes from state statute. Mayor Wegscheid asked about flexibility of the ordinance based off of state statute. Gilchrist said that there are a number of regulations in state statutes where the City can be stricter than the state but an exemption can't be created.

Gillispie called out Item 7 in the ordinance, commenting that she would prefer that the statement not specifically call out the Community Development Director, instead asking something or someone more generic. Gillispie doesn't want something in there and Staff would not bring to Council.

Smith says the origin of this section is Staff tried to be as expansive as possible with domesticated animal definition and Smith would then make a determination of what the definition would be. If the resident did not agree with Smith's findings, they could follow the appeals process that is in the City's zoning ordinance. Mayor Wegscheid suggests that this appeal process be identified in the code.

Mayor Wegscheid asked about being more strict than state statute on dog bites and the procedure following that. Gilchrist says there is already a statute defining what is potentially dangerous and dangerous dogs. A City can be stricter, but there would be conflict with state law. It is quite structured as to definitions. Gilchrist says that if

the City tries to deviate, his concern is that the City would be crossing over into that conflict area. No question of preemption, but potential conflict.

Gillispie brought up the rabies control section and asked if it was taken more from state statute or revised from current ordinance. Simon stated that the rabies control section was removed from existing code because the City does not license dogs anymore. Gillispie says she would feel more comfortable if it was worded differently and asks why you would euthanize the dog if it is not showing signs of rabies.

Simon says this is only if the dog is unclaimed after the quarantine period. Hoversten says the desire is not to have the default position that an animal is destroyed. Unfortunately, that dog is involved in a dangerous situation and it will be unlikely that the City would be able to pass it along to any humane society. That is why there needs to be clean language. With no proof of rabies vaccination, there is no other viable option. Gillispie says she doesn't read it that way.

Salazar says the operative situation is that dog must be claimed by owner. If not claimed, the City has no choice. Mayor Wegscheid explains there is an uncertainty with the situation if the dog has a history of attacks and the City doesn't know if that dog has rabies. Gillispie doesn't see that this is what it is stating. Mayor Wegscheid would like to have Staff take this ordinance and work on the wording and then bring back for a consent agenda item.

Gilchrist offered language that would read if the unclaimed dog showed signs of rabies, then it could be euthanized. Mayor Wegscheid asked what symptoms to watch for in dogs that may have rabies. Simon offered a few examples.

Council consensus is for Staff to take this ordinance and redraft. Staff to clean up and bring back on consent.

Mark Kelly, attorney for the City of Greenwood. His client is Patty Anthony, a Mound resident, whose pet dog was attacked by a pet wolf last month. Kelly asked that the Mound ordinance match the South Lake Minnetonka ordinance. Mound's new ordinance fails to address hybrid animals. Kelly presented a letter to the Council that asks for the City to add to the definitions to license people who potentially have such hybrid animals. Kelly also asks that the City prohibit the nuisances of these animals.

Patty Anthony, 1732 Bluebird, speaking about the presence of wolves in their neighborhood and the residents live in fear. Anthony's neighbor's wolf has attacked her dog and almost killed the dog. This wolf has also bit a neighbor in the foot. Anthony says her vet said this bit could have easily killed a child or a human being. Anthony says most people don't realize the potential danger of wolves. Anthony wants the Council to forbid wolves or hybrid wolves in Mound. Anthony also wants the Council to have these wolves removed and euthanized at the owner's expense.

Kelly says that the owner has two animals on property. Salazar asks what is a hybrid wolf? Mayor Wegscheid there is no mention of hybrid, it is just a wolf. Salazar asks if it is called a wolf, it shouldn't be allowed. Gillispie asks if there is a percentage that defines a hybrid. Simon says he is not an expert, but there is nothing in state statute that defines this. Simon says there are several breeds that are hybrids including German Shepherds. State statute says that a City cannot ban specific breeds from their city.

Mayor Wegscheid says that Staff will take recommendation from the letter from Kelly and Council will visit and make suggestions. Hoversten recommends that Staff bring the ordinance back as a full discussion item and not on consent agenda.

Gillispie summarized that the Council will take this information and look into it more and bring back to vote on down road.

Vern Woytcke, 1701 Bluebird, says that Council has to look at what is danger of dog. This dog went after Woytcke's dog in his yard. Woytcke kicked the other dog in the head and it didn't phase the dog. Mayor Wegscheid mentioned that it sounds like this was an unprovoked attack. Mayor Wegscheid tells residents that these types of attacks need to be reported.

Mayor Wegscheid paused the meeting for tape change at 8:56 p.m.

Mayor Wegscheid reopens the meeting at 9:02 p.m.

Kevin Nelson, 1716 Bluebird, is concerned about the wolf because he has three kids under 4-yrs old that play in his yard. According to Nelson, in June, Nelson's neighbor was attacked by the wolf and bitten on the ankle while the wolf was tied up. Nelson says this wolf chewed up the neighbor's dog. Nelson asks what has to happen in order for there to be less disparity. Mound does not allow chickens but wolves are allowed? Nelson feels that things need to get addressed and changed.

Mayor Wegscheid said that there is DNA testing being done. Nelson says that as a responsible owner he does get vaccinations for his pet. Nelson urges City to reconsider getting this done sooner rather than later.

Gillispie says Staff needs to research the clear definition of hybrid. Salazar is worried about limiting specific breeds and feels that more research needs to be done.

Patty Anthony says the Council needs to get breeding papers of wolves. The owner has bragged that these dogs are 95% wolf.

Art Anthony, 1732 Bluebird, many times he has let the wolf back into the neighbor's property because owner wasn't home. Told that to owner, and owner didn't care. Anthony says the owner is keeping animals inside house all the time. This neighbor

used to have a fenced-in yard, now part of it is not fenced in. Anthony hasn't seen the animals in three weeks. Nothing has been done to secure his backyard.

Simon says that the Orono Police Dept (OPD) has been in contact with owner. Dog owner is not allowing dogs outside unless under control. OPD Community Service Officer is doing spot-checks to insure compliance. Right now they are not declared dangerous dogs.

Gillispie says that the reason the Council is taking time, covering all bases to insure there are no issues down the road with this ordinance. Salazar says that signage is required for dangerous dogs and asks if the Council has the latitude to step it up and require signage for potentially dangerous dogs as well. Gilchrist says that Council has to be careful not to be in conflict with state law, but being stricter than state law may be ok. Gilchrist will work with Staff on this. Salazar asks Gilchrist to look into a way to prohibit animals such as these wolf hybrids.

Gillispie asks if there is anything additional that the City can do in the next two weeks to insure that these dogs are watched. Simon states that the quarantine period is 10 days following an incident and that time has already passed. Simon says that the CSO has been in regular contact with the dog owner, insuring that the dogs are kept properly.

Anthony informed the Council that the City of Minneapolis does not allow hybrid wolves. Anthony says these wolves can jump 6-7 feet in the air and she does not feel safe. Anthony asks the Council to do something about this right away.

Mayor Wegscheid says that because the state statute is in place, the City can enforce any incidents under the state statute. Simon informed the Council that both dogs have been declared potentially dangerous dogs. Salazar asked if the City could bypass the potentially dangerous designation and move directly to dangerous dog. Gilchrist says that there is provision in code that talks about alternatives under statute. Gilchrist says this is driven by the legislature and is not only in place to protect the neighbors, but the dog owners as well. Gilchrist says the City must recognize the due process.

Mayor Wegscheid says the City has its hands tied by state statute. Wegscheid recommends that the neighbors should lobby their State Senator who lives in the neighborhood, Dave Osmek, to see if he can get something put into place at the state level.

John Mundt, 1737 Canary, live kitty-corner to the Anthonys. Mundt says the Orono Police were called after the dog incident and they have been called at least 50 times about wolves. Statement made to Anthonys by the police officer was that if the wolf is in his yard, go ahead and shoot it. Gilchrist says there are abilities to protect oneself in certain circumstance, but this is not the place to discuss. Mundt says that he had a hybrid wolf and it was a great dog, but these dogs under discussion aren't trained.

Gilchrist states that people tonight are urging the Council to ban hybrid wolves, but if that was passed, these good dogs would also be banned.

Gillispie asked when these dogs were found to be potentially dangerous. Simon says that following each attack, these dogs were declared to be potentially dangerous and there have not been any other attacks since then.

Salazar says that is important going forward that neighbors report any experiences with these animals immediately.

Art Anthony says that some of the incidents have not been reported. Mayor Wegscheid informs the residents that they need to pick up the phone and call in incidents to the Orono Police Dept. There needs to be a record of incidents in order to prosecute.

Dan O'Connell, 2101 Belmont, when he read proposed ordinance, he was happy the City was doing something. O'Connell says that he has over \$400 in veterinary bills from attacks. O'Connell says that there are dogs in the neighborhood that are in people's garages that come out and attack anyone walking by. Dogs are loose in the neighborhood. O'Connell would like to see that laws are enforced regarding dogs at large. O'Connell would like to see the retractable leash law rescinded.

Aaron Gross, 1736 Bluebird, asked to have the potentially dangerous dog designation for these wolves be moved straight to dangerous due to the brutal attack on the Anthony's dog. Gross said that Orono PD officers were present when the wolf ran through his yard.

MOTION by Wegscheid, seconded by Salazar, to direct Staff to reword the proposed ordinance amendments as discussed in chambers this evening by residents and Council. All voted in favor. Motion carried.

8. Field Officer Stewart Simon requesting action on a Resolution to Allow for Publication of Ordinance No. xx-2017 by Title and Summary (requires 4/5s vote of City Council to approve)

No discussion. Tabled until next Council meeting.

9. Information/Miscellaneous

A. Comments/reports from Council Members
Hoversten reminded all of the following events:

Nov. 18 - 5:30 p.m. - 7:30 p.m. Annual tree lighting event at east end of parking structure in Veteran's Plaza.

Nov. 20 - City is hosting an open house at 6:00 p.m. to review the Comprehensive Plan update. There will be a short presentation of changes to the comp plan. City has taken input from the community to put this plan together and would now like

community's input on the revisions. Mayor Wegscheid adds that the open house is an excellent opportunity to see what the City is doing.

Nov. 23 - Westonka Foodshelf is offering a free Thanksgiving dinner if anyone in the community needs a place to go to eat. They will not turn anyone away, all are welcome.

Nov. 28 - regular council meeting where there will be discussion about the rates and utilities

Dec. 12 - Council will be considering Staff's recommended budget for 2018

Mayor Wegscheid says that the Council truly appreciates everybody's input tonight. Mayor states that the Council are representatives of the community and they do listen and appreciate residents coming in and voicing their opinions.

B. Reports: Fire Department - Oct 2017
 Liquor Store - Sept & Oct 2017
 Finance Department - Oct 2017

C. Minutes:

D. Correspondence: Hennepin County - 2018 Per Diem Rates
November 20th Comprehensive Plan Open House Flyer/Info
Memorandum dated November 9, 2017 regarding 2040 Comprehensive Plan update and upcoming open house
2017 Westonka Food Shelf Thanksgiving Dinner Announcement Flier

10. Adjourn

MOTION by Gillispie seconded by Bergquist, to adjourn at 9:37 p.m. All voted in favor. Motion carried.

Mayor Mark Wegscheid

Attest: Catherine Pausche, Clerk